



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,887	01/15/2004	Raymond L. Schneider III	1156	5181
7590 10/11/2006			EXAMINER	
Donald J. Ersler			BRYANT, DAVID P	
725 Garvens Avenue Brookfield, WI 53005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3726	
			DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,887	SCHNEIDER, RAYMOND L.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David P. Bryant	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI y statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice ur			
Disposition of Claims	paile quajie, 1000 0		
. · · <u>_</u>			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the applic			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. {	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li> </ol>	ıments have been received.		
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority docu</li></ol>	iments have been received in A	pplication No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
•			
Attachment(s)	□ .		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> </ol>		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<u>_</u> .	

Art Unit: 3726

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

<u>Species A:</u> The embodiment depicted in Figures 1-3.

<u>Species B:</u> The embodiment depicted in Figures 4-6.

The species are independent or distinct because, as described in the specification, they represent separate and distinct embodiments, i.e. a money clip with opposing U-shaped tongues; and a money clip with two parallel, elongated slots that cooperate with the clip to form a slot.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David P. Bryant at telephone number 571-272-4526.

David P. Bryant

SPE

Art Unit 3726